

On the blow-up problem for the axisymmetric 3D Euler equations

Dongho Chae

Department of Mathematics

Sungkyunkwan University

Suwon 440-746, Korea

e-mail : *chae@skku.edu*

Abstract

In this paper we study the finite time blow-up problem for the axisymmetric 3D incompressible Euler equations with swirl. The evolution equations for the deformation tensor and the vorticity are reduced considerably in this case. Under the assumption of local minima for the pressure on the axis of symmetry with respect to the radial variations we show that the solution blows-up in finite time. If we further assume that the second radial derivative vanishes on the axis, then system reduces to the form of Constantin-Lax-Majda equations, and can be integrated explicitly.

AMS subject classification: 35Q35, 76B03

Key Words: axisymmetric Euler equations, finite time blow-up

1 The axisymmetric 3D Euler equations

We are concerned with the following Euler equations for the homogeneous incompressible fluid flows in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\frac{Dv}{Dt} = -\nabla p, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\operatorname{div} v = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad (1.3)$$

where D/Dt is the material derivative defined by

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla).$$

Here $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$, $v_j = v_j(x, t)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, is the velocity of the flow, $p = p(x, t)$ is the scalar pressure, and v_0 is the given initial velocity, satisfying $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$. Since the classical result([12]) on the local well-posedness for the 3D Euler equations in the standard Sobolev space $H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $m > 5/2$, the problem of finite time singularity for such local smooth solution is still an outstanding open problem, although there is a celebrated result on the blow-up criterion([1]) and its refinements([7, 9, 2]) taking into account geometric considerations on the vorticity directions. By an axisymmetric solution of the Euler equations we mean velocity field $v(r, x_3, t)$, solving the Euler equations, and having the representation

$$v(r, x_3, t) = v^r(r, x_3, t)e_r + v^\theta(r, x_3, t)e_\theta + v^3(r, x_3, t)e_3$$

in the cylindrical coordinate system, where

$$e_r = \left(\frac{x_1}{r}, \frac{x_2}{r}, 0\right), \quad e_\theta = \left(-\frac{x_2}{r}, \frac{x_1}{r}, 0\right), \quad e_3 = (0, 0, 1), \quad r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}.$$

In this case also the question of finite time blow-up of solution is wide open(see e.g. [3, 5, 4] for preliminary studies of the problem; see also [10] for the related recent result in case of helical symmetry). The vorticity $\omega = \operatorname{curl} v$ is computed as

$$\omega = \omega^r e_r + \omega^\theta e_\theta + \omega^3 e_3,$$

where

$$\omega^r = -\partial_3 v^\theta, \quad \omega^\theta = \partial_3 v^r - \partial_r v^3, \quad \omega^3 = \frac{v^\theta}{r} + \partial_r v^\theta.$$

We denote

$$\tilde{v} = v^r e_r + v^3 e_3.$$

The Euler equations for the axisymmetric solution are

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v^r + (\tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}) v^r = -\partial_r p + \frac{(v^\theta)^2}{r}, \\ \partial_t v^3 + (\tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}) v^3 = -\partial_3 p, \\ \partial_t v^\theta + (\tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}) v^\theta = -\frac{v^r v^\theta}{r}, \\ \operatorname{div} \tilde{v} = 0, \\ v(r, x_3, 0) = v_0(r, x_3), \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\nabla} = e_r \partial_r + e_3 \partial_3$. Note that the above representation of the Euler equations in the cylindrical coordinate system is valid off the axis of symmetry,

which is chosen to be x_3 -axis. Hence, in order to analyze the equation on the x_3 -axis, we mainly use the equations in the Cartesian coordinate system. Below the functional values of a cylindrically symmetric function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(r, x_3)$, $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$ on the x_3 -axis, should be understood as $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} f(r, x_3) := f(x_3)$.

Theorem 1.1 *In the axisymmetric 3D Euler equations with the symmetry of axis chosen to be x_3 -axis, we write*

$$\bar{\omega} := \partial_1 v^2 - \partial_2 v^1 (= 2\partial_1 v^2 = 2\partial_r v^\theta), \quad \lambda := \partial_3 v^3,$$

which are defined on the x_3 -axis. Suppose the initial data satisfies

$$\mathcal{S} := \{x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \mid \bar{\omega}_0(x_3) = 0, \lambda_0(x_3) > 0, \partial_r^2 p_0(x_3) \geq 0\} \neq \emptyset,$$

where we denoted

$$\bar{\omega}_0(x_3) = \bar{\omega}(x_3, 0), \quad \lambda_0(x_3) = \lambda(x_3, 0), \quad p_0(x_3) = p(x_3, 0).$$

We define $T_1 = T_1(a)$ as

$$T_1 = \inf\{t > 0 \mid \partial_r^2 p(X_3(a, t), t) < 0\},$$

where $X_3(a, t)$ is the particle trajectory defined by the local classical solution $v(x, t)$.

$$\frac{\partial X_3(a, t)}{\partial t} = v^3(X_3(a, t), t), \quad X_3(a, 0) = a.$$

Then, there exists no global classical solution to the axisymmetric 3D Euler equations if there exists $a \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$T_1(a) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_0(a)}. \tag{1.4}$$

Remark 1.1 After the above theorem is proved P. Constantin informed me of the preprint([6]), where it is shown that the positivity of all the matrix components of the hessian of the pressure leads to a singularity in the general case. In the above, however, the positivity is assumed essentially only for one component on the x_3 -axis in the case of axisymmetry.

Remark 1.2 The assumption on the positivity of the second radial derivative of the pressure on the x_3 -axis is physically natural in view of the following heuristic argument. We consider a axisymmetric compressible ideal fluid with swirl. Due to centrifugal force the density of fluid becomes local minimum on the x_3 -axis, which implies local minimum of pressure on the x_3 -axis, hence $\partial_r^2 p \geq 0$ on the axis. Now we take zero Mach number limit for the pressure to obtain the pressure of the original axisymmetric incompressible fluid(see [13] for rigorous result for this singular limit problem). In this

limiting procedure it is plausible to expect preservation of the local minimum property of the pressure on the x_3 -axis.

Theorem 1.2 *In the axisymmetric 3D Euler equations with the symmetry of axis chosen to be x_3 -axis, let us assume that there exists $T > 0$ such that*

$$\partial_r^2 p(x_3, t) = 0 \quad \forall (x_3, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T]. \quad (1.5)$$

Then, the pair $(\bar{\omega}, \lambda)$, which is defined in Theorem 1.1, can be explicitly given by

$$\bar{\omega}(X_3(a, t), t) = \frac{4\bar{\omega}_0(a)}{(2 - \lambda_0(a)t)^2 + \bar{\omega}_0(a)^2 t}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\lambda(X_3(a, t), t) = \frac{4\lambda_0(a) - 2[\lambda_0(a)^2 + \bar{\omega}_0(a)^2]t}{(2 - \lambda_0(a)t)^2 + \bar{\omega}_0(a)^2 t} \quad (1.7)$$

along the particle trajectory $\{X_3(a, t)\}$ for all $(a, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T]$. Let us assume $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \mid \lambda_0(x_3) > 0, \bar{\omega}_0(x_3) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Then, the form of solution (1.7) implies that there exists no global classical solution to the 3D axisymmetric Euler equations if

$$T \geq \inf_{a \in \mathcal{S}_0} \frac{2}{\lambda_0(a)}.$$

2 Proof of the main theorems

We begin with the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $v = (v^1, v^2, v^3) = v(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be an axially symmetric C^1 -vector field on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the axis of symmetry chosen as the x_3 -axis, satisfying $\operatorname{div} v = 0$, and let $p = p(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be an axially symmetric C^2 -scalar function on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then, on the axis of symmetry we have*

$$v^1 = v^2 = \partial_3 v^1 = \partial_3 v^2 = \partial_1 v^3 = \partial_2 v^3 = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$v^r = v^\theta = \partial_3 v^r = \partial_3 v^\theta = \partial_r v^3 = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\partial_1 v^1 = \partial_2 v^2 = -\frac{\partial_3 v^3}{2} = \partial_r v^r = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{v^r}{r}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\partial_1 v^2 = -\partial_2 v^1 = \partial_r v^\theta = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{v^\theta}{r}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\partial_1 p = \partial_2 p = \partial_1 \partial_2 p = \partial_1 \partial_3 p = \partial_2 \partial_3 p = \partial_r p = \partial_r \partial_3 p = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\partial_1^2 p = \partial_2^2 p = \partial_r^2 p = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial_r p}{r}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof Here we use notations,

$$(x'_1, x'_2) := (-x_2, x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) := \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}.$$

Let us observe first

$$v^1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1}{r}v^r - \frac{x_2}{r}v^\theta, \quad v^2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_2}{r}v^r + \frac{x_1}{r}v^\theta, \quad r > 0,$$

and thus

$$v^1(x'_1, x'_2, x_3) = -\frac{x_2}{r}v^r - \frac{x_1}{r}v^\theta = -v^2(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (2.7)$$

$$v^2(x'_1, x'_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1}{r}v^r - \frac{x_2}{r}v^\theta = v^1(x_1, x_2, x_3). \quad (2.8)$$

Passing $r \rightarrow 0$ in (2.7)-(2.8), we find that $v_1 = v_2 = 0$ on the x_3 -axis. On the other hand,

$$v^1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) + v^2(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) = \sqrt{2}v^r(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (2.9)$$

$$v^1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) - v^2(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) = -\sqrt{2}v^\theta(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (2.10)$$

and passing $r \rightarrow 0$ in (2.9)-(2.10), we also find that $v^\theta = v^r = 0$ on the x_3 -axis. Replacing v_1, v_2, v^r, v^θ by $\partial_3 v^1, \partial_3 v^2, \partial_3 v^r, \partial_3 v^\theta$ respectively in the above argument we also deduce that $\partial_3 v^1 = \partial_3 v^2 = \partial_3 v^r = \partial_3 v^\theta = 0$. Next we note that

$$\partial_1 v^3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1}{r}\partial_r v^3, \quad \partial_2 v^3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_2}{r}\partial_r v^3, \quad r > 0,$$

and therefore

$$\partial_1 v^3(x'_1, x'_2, x_3) = -\frac{x_2}{r}\partial_r v^3 = -\partial_2 v^3(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\partial_2 v^3(x'_1, x'_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1}{r}\partial_r v^3 = \partial_1 v^3(x_1, x_2, x_3) \quad (2.12)$$

for all $r > 0$. Similarly to the above, passing $r \rightarrow 0$ in (2.11)-(2.12), we deduce $\partial_1 v^3 = \partial_2 v^3 = 0$ on the x_3 -axis. Since

$$\partial_1 v^3(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) - \partial_2 v^3(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) = \sqrt{2}\partial_r v^3(x_1, x_2, x_3),$$

we are lead to $\partial_r v^3 = 0$ on the x_3 -axis by passing $r \rightarrow 0$. In order to verify (2.3) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 v^1(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \frac{v^r}{r} - \frac{x_1^2}{r^3}v^r + \frac{x_1^2}{r^2}\partial_r v^r + \frac{x_1 x_2}{r^3}v^\theta - \frac{x_1 x_2}{r^2}\partial_r v^\theta, \\ \partial_2 v^2(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \frac{v^r}{r} - \frac{x_2^2}{r^3}v^r + \frac{x_2^2}{r^2}\partial_r v^r - \frac{x_1 x_2}{r^3}v^\theta + \frac{x_1 x_2}{r^2}\partial_r v^\theta \end{aligned}$$

for $r > 0$. Hence,

$$\partial_1 v^1(x'_1, x'_2, x_3) = \partial_2 v^2(x_1, x_2, x_3) \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Passing $r \rightarrow 0$ in (2.13), we have $\partial_1 v^1 = \partial_2 v^2$ on the x_3 -axis. The condition $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ implies $\partial_1 v^1 = \partial_2 v^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_3 v^3$. We note

$$\partial_1 v^1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) - \partial_2 v^2(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) = \frac{v^\theta(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{r} - \partial_r v^\theta(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\partial_1 v^1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) + \partial_2 v^2(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) = \frac{v^r(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{r} + \partial_r v^r(x_1, x_2, x_3). \quad (2.15)$$

From (2.14) we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{v^\theta}{r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \partial_r v^\theta. \quad (2.16)$$

Let us compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2 v^1(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -\frac{x_1 x_2}{r^3} v^r + \frac{x_1 x_2}{r^2} \partial_r v^r - \frac{v^\theta}{r} + \frac{x_2^2}{r^3} v^\theta - \frac{x_2^2}{r^2} \partial_r v^\theta, \\ \partial_1 v^2(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -\frac{x_1 x_2}{r^3} v^r + \frac{x_1 x_2}{r^2} \partial_r v^r + \frac{v^\theta}{r} - \frac{x_1^2}{r^3} v^\theta + \frac{x_1^2}{r^2} \partial_r v^\theta, \end{aligned}$$

and, hence

$$\partial_1 v^2(x'_1, x'_2, x_3) = -\partial_2 v^1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \quad (2.17)$$

for all $r > 0$. Passing $r \rightarrow 0$ in (2.17), we obtain $\partial_1 v^2 = -\partial_2 v^1$ on the x_3 -axis. Let us compute

$$\partial_2 v^1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) + \partial_1 v^2(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) = -\frac{v^r(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{r} + \partial_r v^r(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\partial_2 v^1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) - \partial_1 v^2(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) = -\frac{v^\theta(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{r} - \partial_r v^\theta(x_1, x_2, x_3). \quad (2.19)$$

The equation (2.18) provides us with

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{v^r}{r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \partial_r v^r, \quad (2.20)$$

while (2.19), combined with (2.16), shows $\partial_1 v^2 = \partial_r v^\theta$ respectively on the x_3 -axis. Using the fact (2.20), passing $r \rightarrow 0$ in (2.15), we deduce $\partial_1 v_1 = \partial_r v_r$ on the x_3 -axis. As for (2.5) the proof of $\partial_1 p = \partial_2 p = \partial_1 \partial_3 p = \partial_2 \partial_3 p = \partial_r p = \partial_r \partial_3 p = 0$ is exactly same as the above and we omit it. We note

$$\partial_1 \partial_2 p(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -\frac{x_1 x_2}{r^3} \partial_r p + \frac{x_1 x_2}{r^2} \partial_r^2 p,$$

and find that $\partial_1 \partial_2 p(x'_1, x'_2, x_3) = -\partial_1 \partial_2 p(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. Hence, passing $r \rightarrow 0$, we have $\partial_1 \partial_2 p = 0$ on the x_3 -axis. We also compute

$$\partial_1^2 p(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{r} \partial_r p - \frac{x_1^2}{r^3} \partial_r p + \frac{x_1^2}{r^2} \partial_r^2 p = \partial_2^2 p(x'_1, x'_2, x_3),$$

and deduce that

$$\partial_1^2 p = \partial_2^2 p \quad \text{on the } x_3\text{-axis by passing } r \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.21)$$

Note that

$$\partial_1^2 p + \partial_2^2 p = \frac{1}{r} \partial_r p + \partial_r^2 p, \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$\partial_1 \partial_2 p(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, x_3) = -\frac{1}{2r} \partial_r p + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r^2 p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.23)$$

From (2.21), (2.22) and (2.23) we have $\partial_1^2 p = \partial_2^2 p = \partial_r^2 p = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \partial_r p$. $\square$

Next we recall the matrix representation of the Euler equations(see e.g. [14]). Given velocity $v(x, t)$, and pressure $p(x, t)$, we introduce the 3×3 matrices,

$$V_{ij} = \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i}, \quad S_{ij} = \frac{V_{ij} + V_{ji}}{2}, \quad A_{ij} = \frac{V_{ij} - V_{ji}}{2}, \quad P_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_i \partial x_j},$$

with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Then, we have the decomposition $V = (V_{ij}) = S + A$, where $S = (S_{ij})$ represents the deformation tensor of the fluid, and $A = (A_{ij})$ is related to the vorticity ω by the formula,

$$A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ijk} \omega_k, \quad \omega_i = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ijk} A_{jk}, \quad (2.24)$$

where ε_{ijk} is the skewsymmetric tensor with the normalization $\varepsilon_{123} = 1$. Note that $P = (P_{ij})$ is the hessian of the pressure. Let $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$ be the set of eigenvalues of S . Computing partial derivatives $\partial/\partial x_k$ of (1.1) yields

$$\frac{DV}{Dt} = -V^2 - P. \quad (2.25)$$

Taking symmetric part of (2.25), we have

$$\frac{DS}{Dt} = -S^2 - A^2 - P, \quad (2.26)$$

from which, using the formula (2.24), we derive

$$\frac{DS_{ij}}{Dt} = -\sum_{k=1}^3 S_{ik} S_{kj} + \frac{1}{4}(|\omega|^2 \delta_{ij} - \omega_i \omega_j) - P_{ij}, \quad (2.27)$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta defined by $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$, and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The antisymmetric part of (2.25) is

$$\frac{DA}{Dt} = -SA - AS, \quad (2.28)$$

which, using the formula (2.24) again, we obtain easily

$$\frac{D\omega}{Dt} = S\omega, \quad (2.29)$$

which is the well-known vorticity evolution equation that could be derived also by taking curl of (1.1). Taking trace of (2.27), we have the identity

$$-(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2) + \frac{1}{2}|\omega|^2 = \Delta p. \quad (2.30)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Thanks to lemma 2.1 we have the following reduced representation for the deformation tensor, the vorticity, and the hessian of the pressure on the x_3 -axis.

$$S = \text{diag}\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2}, -\frac{\lambda}{2}, \lambda\right), \quad \omega = (0, 0, \bar{\omega}), \quad P = \text{diag}(\partial_r^2 p, \partial_r^2 p, \partial_3^2 p), \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$\lambda = \partial_3 v_3 \left(= -\frac{1}{2}\partial_1 v^1 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_2 v^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_r v^r \right), \quad \bar{\omega} = \partial_1 v^2 - \partial_2 v^1 (= 2\partial_r v^\theta)$$

on the x_3 -axis. The (11) and (22) components of the matrix equation (2.27) reduce to

$$\frac{\bar{D}\lambda}{Dt} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - \frac{\bar{\omega}^2}{2} + 2\partial_r^2 p, \quad (2.32)$$

where we set

$$\frac{\bar{D}}{Dt} = \partial_t + v_3 \partial_3,$$

while the (33) component becomes

$$\frac{\bar{D}\lambda}{Dt} = -\lambda^2 - \partial_3^2 p. \quad (2.33)$$

We note that (2.30) reduces to

$$\Delta p = -\frac{3}{2}\lambda^2 + \frac{\bar{\omega}^2}{2}, \quad (2.34)$$

which is also obtained by taking subtraction (2.32)-(2.33). The vorticity equation is written as

$$\frac{\bar{D}\bar{\omega}}{Dt} = \lambda\bar{\omega}, \quad (2.35)$$

which can be solved as

$$\bar{\omega}(X_3(a, t), t) = \bar{\omega}_0(a) \exp \left[\int_0^t \lambda(X_3(a, s), s) ds \right]$$

along the trajectory. This implies that $\bar{\omega}(X_3(a, t), t) = 0$ for $a \in \mathcal{S}$ as long as classical solution persists. Hence, for $a \in \mathcal{S}$ (2.33) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \lambda(X_3(a, t), t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\lambda^2(X_3(a, t), t)}{2} + 2\partial_r^2 p(X_3(a, t), t) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda^2(X_3(a, t), t)}{2} \quad \forall t \in (0, T_1(a)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

The differential inequality (2.36) can be solved immediately to yield

$$\lambda(X_3(a, t), t) \geq \frac{2\lambda_0(a)}{2 - t\lambda_0(a)} \quad \forall t \in (0, T_*) \quad \text{with} \quad T_* = T_*(a) := \min\{T_1(a), \frac{2}{\lambda_0(a)}\},$$

which shows that $T_1(a) \geq 2/\lambda_0(a)$ is not consistent with the fact that classical solution persists until $T(a)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 By the hypothesis the equation (2.32) together with (2.35) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\bar{D}\lambda}{Dt} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - \frac{\bar{\omega}^2}{2}, \\ \frac{\bar{D}\bar{\omega}}{Dt} = \lambda\bar{\omega}. \end{cases} \quad (2.37)$$

This is exactly the same system studied by Constantin-Lax-Majda in [8] with the material derivative replacing the partial derivative in time, which was proposed as a one dimensional model equation for the 3D Euler equations in the vorticity formulation. Similarly to [8] we set $\Theta = \lambda + i\bar{\omega}$. Then (2.37) becomes the following complex Riccati equation along the trajectory,

$$\frac{\bar{D}\Theta}{Dt} = \frac{\Theta^2}{2},$$

which can be solved explicitly as

$$\Theta(X_3(a, t), t) = \frac{2\Theta_0(a)}{2 - \Theta_0(a)t} = \frac{2\lambda_0(a) + 2i\bar{\omega}_0(a)}{2 - [\lambda_0(a) + i\bar{\omega}_0(a)]t}. \quad (2.38)$$

Taking imaginary and real parts of (2.38) we obtain (1.6)-(1.7). $\square$

Remark after the proof In [11] Hou-Li also obtained a system of equations similar in form to (2.37), but for a different pair of unknown functions

under completely different assumptions. In our case the system is derived rigorously from the axisymmetric 3D Euler equation by taking the limit $r \rightarrow 0$, and assuming only $\partial_r^2 p = 0$ on the x_3 -axis.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank to P. Constantin, Y. Brenier and F. Gallaire for helpful discussions and comments. This research was supported partially by KRF Grant(MOEHRD, Basic Research Promotion Fund).

References

- [1] J. T. Beale, T. Kato and A. Majda, *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **94**, (1984), pp. 61-66.
- [2] D. Chae, *On the finite time singularities of the 3D incompressible Euler equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **60**, no. 4, (2007), pp.597-617.
- [3] D. Chae, *Remarks on the blow-up criterion of the 3D Euler equations*, Nonlinearity, **18**, (2005), pp. 1021-1029.
- [4] D. Chae and O. Yu. Imanivilov, *Generic solvability of the axisymmetric 3D Euler equations and 2D Boussinesq equations*, J. Diff. Eqns., **156**, no. 1, (1999), pp. 1-17.
- [5] D. Chae and N. Kim, *On the breakdown of axisymmetric smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **178**, (1996), pp. 391-398.
- [6] P. Constantin, *Singular, weak and absent: solutions of the Euler equations*, to appear in Physica D.
- [7] P. Constantin, C. Fefferman and A. Majda, *Geometric constraints on potential singularity formulation in the 3-D Euler equations*, Comm. P.D.E., **21**, (3-4), (1996), pp. 559-571.
- [8] P. Constantin, P. Lax and A. Majda, *A simple one-dimensional model for the three dimensional vorticity equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **38**, (1985), pp. 715-724.
- [9] J. Deng, T. Y. Hou and X. Yu, *Geometric and Nonblowup of 3D Incompressible Euler Flow*, Comm. P.D.E, **30**, (2005), pp. 225-243.
- [10] B. Ettinger and E. Titi, *Global existence and uniqueness of weak solutions of 3-D Euler equations with helical symmetry in the absence of vorticity stretching*, arXiv:0802.2131v1 [math.AP].

- [11] T. Hou and C. Li, *Dynamic Stability of the Three-Dimensional Axisymmetric Navier-Stokes Equations with Swirl*, to appear in Comm. Pure Appl. Math..
- [12] T. Kato, Nonstationary flows of viscous and ideal fluids in $\mathbb{R}^3$, J. Func. Anal. **9**, (1972), pp. 296-305.
- [13] S. Klainerman and A. Majda, *Singular limits of quasilinear hyperbolic systems with large parameters and the incompressible limit of compressible fluids*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34**, (1981), pp. 481-524.
- [14] A. Majda and A. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*, Cambridge Univ. Press. (2002).